Company Information

Company Name: Williams Gas Pipeline

Gas STAR Contact: Mike Callegari

Natural Gas And STAR Color of Color of

Title Senior Enviro. Scientist, Air Quality Compliance

Address: 2800 Post Oak Boulevard

L-17

City: Houston

State: TX

Zip: 77056

Phone: (713) 215-4584

Fax: (713) 215-3905

E-mail: Michael.C.Callegari@Williams.com

Company Information Updated: No

Activities Reported

BMP1: No BMP2: No BMP3: No BMP4: Yes

Total Methane Emission Reductions Reported This Year: 1,218,941

Previous Years' Activities Reported: No

Period Covered by Report

From: 01/01/2007

To: 12/31/2007

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Appomattox, VA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO: portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 259,220 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

√ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$358,330

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$1,814,540

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
· · ·				
		ļ		
		1		

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Bear Creek, PA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 6,660 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

√ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$17,200

G. Total Value of Gas Saved Value of Gas Saved: \$46,620

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Chatham, VA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 7,920 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$19,700

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$55,440

\$ / Mcfused: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
				
· <u> </u>				
	·			- "

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Comer, GA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 34,690 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field, measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$36,970

G. Total Value of Gas Saved Value of Gas Saved: \$242,830

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Conyers, GA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 64,680 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$85,950

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$ 452,760

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
		 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				-

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Cowpens, SC

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 17,720 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$27,000

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$ 124,040

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost *	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
·····			
			<u> </u>
	 		<u> </u>

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Dayton, TX

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 6,380 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$17,400

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$ 44,660

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
		<u></u>		
		İ		
	•			

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Easton, PA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 2,890 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$12,000

G. Total Value of Gas Saved Value of Gas Saved: \$ 20,230

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost *	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
		<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		<u> </u>		·
	<u>.</u>			

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Eden, NC

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 41,250 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$69,460

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$ 288,750

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
			
	 		
	Frequency of practice or # of Installations		

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Fayetteville, GA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 42,570 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$46,000

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$ 297,990

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
;				

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

<u> Transmission - Natural Gas STAR Annual Report 2007</u>

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Frazer, PA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 45,180 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$69,594

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$316,260

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
				.
		<u>. </u>		

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Gretna, VA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 15,370 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$26,400

G. Total Value of Gas Saved Value of Gas Saved: \$107,590

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost *	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
				
				<u> </u>
		<u> </u>		
		<u>l </u>		

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Grover, NC

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction:

51.510 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$95,950

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$360,570

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost *	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
				_

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Houston, TX

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO: portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 57,130 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$126,200

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$399,910

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
		-		

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Hughesville, PA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction:

13,790 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$23,200

G. Total Value of Gas Saved Value of Gas Saved: \$96,530

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
				_
				
		<u></u>		

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

<u> Transmission - Natural Gas STAR Annual Report 2007</u>

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Huntersville, NC

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 25,810 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$33,600

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$ 180,670

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
			- :	
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	·			

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Katy, TX

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 21 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$41,550

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$148

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
<u> </u>				·
				<u>. </u>
				 -

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Lee Crossroads, AL

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 42,400 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$76,930

G. Total Value of Gas Saved Value of Gas Saved: \$296,800

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
				<u> </u>
			<u> </u>	

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Lexington, NC

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 37,900 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

√ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$75,300

G. Total Value of Gas Saved Value of Gas Saved: \$265,300

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
			
			• • •

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

<u> Transmission - Natural Gas STAR Annual Report 2007</u>

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Luzerne Co, PA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 10,180 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

<u> Transmission - Natural Gas STAR Annual Report 2007</u>

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$21,000

G. Total Value of Gas Saved Value of Gas Saved: \$71,260

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
-		T		
		 		
		 		
		<u> </u>		
+				
		_{		
ļ		1		<u> </u>

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

<u> Transmission - Natural Gas STAR Annual Report 2007</u>

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Manassas, VA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 78,430 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$124,070

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$549,010

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Moore, SC

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 48,170 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$60,640

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$ 337,190

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
			 .
			
. <u> </u>			
	Frequency of practice or # of Installations		

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Mooresville, NC

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 73,710 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$82,970

G. Total Value of Gas Saved Value of Gas Saved: \$515,970

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
		 		
··· — -		┼──		
		. 		
				<u> </u>

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Oakridge, NC

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 25,960 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$64,910

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$ 181,720

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
		ļ <u>.</u>		
<u>-</u>	·			
	<u></u>			····

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Palmyra, VA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 15,430 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate: Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$25,200

G. Total Value of Gas Saved Value of Gas Saved: \$108,010

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
		· 1		

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Quarryville, PA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 11,110 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$19,600

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$77,770

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Roanoke, AL

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 17,370 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$27,150

G. Total Value of Gas Saved Value of Gas Saved: \$ 121,590

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
		-		·

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Scottsville, VA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 12,310 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$23,550

G. Total Value of Gas Saved Value of Gas Saved: \$86,170

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
				<u> </u>

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Selma, AL

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 74,090 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$ 163,080

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$518,630

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
		-		
	·····			
	•			<u> </u>

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Stanley, NC

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 44,600 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

<u>Transmission - Natural Gas STAR Annual Report 2007</u>

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$86,280

G. Total Value of Gas Saved Value of Gas Saved: \$312,200

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

continue practice To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?:

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
		 		 _
				

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

BMP4: Partner Reported Opportunities (PROs)

Current Year Activities

A. Facility/location identifier information:

Unionville, VA

B. Description of PRO

Please specify the technology or practice that was implemented:

Using pipeline pumpdown techniques to lower gas line pressure before maintenance

Please describe how your company implemented this PRO:

portable recompression

C. Level of Implementation

D. Methane Emissions Reduction

Methane Emissions Reduction: 34,490 Mcf/year

Basis for the emissions reduction estimate:

Actual field measurement

E. Are these emissions reductions a one-year reduction or a multi-year reduction?

✓ One-year

Multi-year

If Multi-year:

Partner will report this activity once and let EPA automatically calculate future emission reductions based on sunset date duration.

F. Cost Summary

Estimated cost of implementing the PRO (including equipment and labor): \$51,450

G. Total Value of Gas Saved

Value of Gas Saved: \$241,430

\$ / Mcf used: \$ 7.00

H. Planned Future Activities

To what extent do you expect to implement this PRO next year?: continue practice

Previous Years' Activities

Year	Frequency of practice or # of Installations	Total Cost * (\$)	Estimated Reductions (Mcf/Yr)	Value of Gas Saved (\$)
			•••	

^{*} Total cost of practice/activity (including equipment and labor)

Williams Gas Pipeline Additional Accomplishments